

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

WILLIAM P. CASTILLO,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

Respondents.


Case No. 2:04-cv-00868-RCJ-EJY

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF NO. 258)**

In this capital habeas corpus action, on May 25, 2021, the petitioner, William P. Castillo, represented by appointed counsel, filed his opening brief on remand (ECF No. 255). Then, after a 60-day initial period and a 60-day extension, Respondents were due on September 24, 2021, to file their responding brief. See Order entered January 25, 2021 (ECF No. 252) (60 days for responding brief); Order entered September 8, 2021 (60-day extension).

On September 24, 2021, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 258), requesting a further 60-day extension of time, to November 23, 2021, to file their responding brief on remand. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of personal medical issues and her obligations in other cases. Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and there is good cause for the extension of time.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 258) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including November 23, 2021, to file their responding brief on remand.

  
ROBERT C. JONES,  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE